#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M05972
Date Received: 08/19/10
Date Extracted: 08/20/10
Date Analyzed: 08/20/10
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Germanium

Client: Alaskan Copper Works
Project: PO M05972, F&BI 008227
Lab ID: 008227-01 x10

Data File: 008227-01 x10.051
Instrument: ICPMS1
Operator: AP

Lower Upper % Recovery: Limit: Limit: 96 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium 350
Nickel 259
Copper 298
Zinc 36.2

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	Alaskan Copper Works					
Date Received:	Not Applicable	Project:	PO M05972, F&BI 008227					
Date Extracted:	08/20/10	Lab ID:	I0-454 mb					
Date Analyzed:	08/20/10	Data File:	I0-454 mb.043					
Matrix:	Water	Instrument:	ICPMS1					
Units:	ug/L (ppb)	Operator:	AP					

		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	90	60	125
Indium	97	60	125
Holmium	98	60	125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/25/10 Date Received: 08/19/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M05972, F&BI 008227

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 008216-02 (Matrix Spike)

	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.91	109	106	67-132	3
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	8.32	103 b	110 b	73-119	7
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.09	102 b	103 b	50-144	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	2.84	98	101	46-148	3

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	107	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	57-135

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{\it ca}$  The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{d} v$  Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- $hr\ -\ The\ sample\ and\ duplicate\ were\ reextracted\ and\ reanalyzed.\ RPD\ results\ were\ still\ outside\ of\ control\ limits.\ The\ variability\ is\ attributed\ to\ sample\ inhomogeneity.$
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
  m jl}$  The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js  $The\ surrogate\ associated\ with\ the\ analyte\ is\ out\ of\ control\ limits. The\ reported\ concentration\ should\ be\ considered\ an\ estimate.$
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$  nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\mbox{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

008227				MPLE CH	CALL CAR CARLES			-:		=		I		Page#		AT
199	A O								)# 972		TIRNAROUND TIME    Standard (2 Weeks)   RUSH   Rush charges authorized by					
City, State, ZIP_SEAS Phone # 206-571-60			And avoid followers.	REMARKS	11 - 20		**,-		1239				□ Disp □ Ret	<del>pose a</del> um sa	LE DE flor 30 d mples with inst	lays
			,			<u>L</u> ,	=		AN	LYS	ES RE	QUES	TED			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	Cecu. no. Ex		A. =			Notes
M05972	01	8/15/10	12:30	HZD	1						-					ec
37.1 31.					(9							+				
							_									
															<del></del>	
											-	+				
					-											
Friedman & Bruya, Inc. 3012 16th Avenue West	Relination bell by	IGNATU	RE >	6	PRINT	NAM		ж)	+		COM CC	PANY		9/	DATE	T1
Seattle, WA 98119-2029 Th. (206) 285-8282	Received by:  Relinquished by:	7 anj 70	uw-		Than		ar				e B				19/10	V

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 25, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 19, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M05972, F&BI 008227 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0825R.DOC